

Passenger Rail & the Single Crew Issue

The advocates of single employee crews for freight operations are now pointing to passenger train operations -- including Amtrak -- that use a single engineer in the cab of the locomotive, as evidence that freight train operations would be safe and efficient with a lone employee aboard. Nothing could be further from the truth.

It is true that some passenger train operations utilize a single crew member in the locomotive cab. These engineers always have a train crew of at least one other certified crew member on board the train at all times. The additional crew member(s) assist the locomotive engineer with all sorts of aspects of the job. They remind the engineer of impending restrictions that affect the safe movement of the train. They assist with interpretation and application of the rules. Together they have a job briefing before, and during the tour-of-duty when conditions change, to ensure the train's safe movement. There are countless other ways that the train's engineer and its other crew member(s) work as a team, and they are of course there for each other, the train and its passengers should emergency or disaster strike.

Passenger locomotive engineers who do run the train -- alone in the cab -- are not subject to the conditions of freight engineers:

- Passenger engineers have fixed schedules that can make for very routine work. Freight engineers begin and end their tour of duty at random times 24/7/365. No two tour-of-duty start and end times are the same. There is little or no predictability to a freight engineer's schedule. Studies have proven that having no fixed schedule dramatically increases crew fatigue.
- Most passenger and commuter train engineers work during normal waking hours. Very few passenger trains operate from midnight to 5 AM. Freight engineers regularly work at night.
- Extra board passenger engineers have a much better sense of when and where they will be used. Generally, passenger train start times that must be "protected" are published and adhered to. Therefore, an extra board passenger engineer has a reasonably good idea of if and when they might be called to work. A freight engineer -- extra board or even "pool" -- often has little idea of when s/he may be called to work, and must "protect" the board 24/7.
- All long distance Amtrak trains that run through the night have an engineer and a co-engineer, for safety, working together in the locomotive cab. Freight train engineers would be expected to routinely run trains through the night alone, with *no one* in the cab to assist.
- Passenger engineers make scheduled runs. Lone engineer runs are usually scheduled on-duty and "at the throttle" for less than 6 hours. Amtrak crews with an engineer and co-engineer are usually on duty for no longer than 8 or 10 hours. Freight engineers however, routinely work 12 hours (the federally allowed maximum). Even then, it is very common for a freight engineer to be out on the property even longer as they await transportation into the terminal.
- Passenger engineers at Amtrak all have at least one day a week scheduled off work. Freight engineers generally have no guaranteed regular off days.

The Tentative Agreement on the BNSF makes no such provisions for engineers who would have to operate alone in the cab of the locomotive. Their runs are often hundreds of miles and last the full 12 hours, more than twice as long as is generally expected of an Amtrak engineer. The freight engineers on the BNSF property -- like other Class One railroads -- have no set schedule, no departure time, no arrival time, and are generally on call 24/7. This is usually not the case with

passenger engineers, who work a scheduled run. The BNSF-SMART TA has NO provision for *any* other personnel to be aboard that train except the lone engineer.

Finally, make no mistake, the single passenger engineer assignment is not without controversy on Amtrak and other commuter railroads. It is highly debatable if even these operations are in fact “successful” and “safe”. There are countless examples where another set of eyes and ears in the cab of a passenger train’s locomotive would be very useful and make for a much safer workplace environment. Two blatant examples in recent years come to mind: Chatsworth, CA and Spuyten Duyvil, NY. In the Chatsworth wreck, a lone Metrolink engineer became distracted, apparently as a result of texting. Had a second crew member been stationed in the cab, it is unlikely that the train would have collided head-on with a Union Pacific freight train, resulting in numerous fatalities and injuries. Likewise, in the Spuyten Duyvil wreck in 2013, had two crew members been on board the Metro North train’s locomotive, it is unlikely that this train would have derailed, killing and injuring a number of passengers. The lone engineer who “nodded off” and failed to slow his train for a 30 mph curve would no doubt have been alerted by his second crew member in the cab before the disaster struck ... had one been there.

Regardless of whether or not single engineer passenger operations are “safe” or “unsafe”, it can easily be seen that there is absolutely no comparison between current single engineer passenger operations and what is being proposed for freight service on the BNSF.

Because of the unscheduled nature of freight service, the myriad on- and off-duty times, the long hours, the night work, the lack of regularly assigned days off, and the lack of anyone else anywhere on the train to assist, the proposed single employee operations of freight trains on the BNSF has absolutely nothing in common with currently existing single engineer passenger service operations.

Anyone – including any railroad worker -- who believes that there is more than a remote similarity has never worked in both freight and passenger service.

Ron Kaminkow

(The author currently works as an Amtrak locomotive engineer in passenger service. He formerly worked in freight service as an engineer for Norfolk Southern and in freight service for Conrail as both an engineer and a trainman).